IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF ARKANSAS WESTERN DIVISION



SEP 0 4 2014

JAMES W. McCORMACK, By:	CLERK
Di	PCLER

WELTON COLEMAN

PLAINTIFF

vs.

CASE NO. 4:14 cv 523 TLH

PORTFOLIO RECOVERY ASSOCIATES, LLC

DEFENDANT

COMPLAINT

COMES NOW, Plaintiff, Welton Coleman (Coleman), by and through his attorney and for his Complaint against Portfolio Recovery Associates, LLC (Portfolio) states:

I. STATEMENT OF JURISDICTION

- 1. Jurisdiction of this Court arises pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1331 and 15 U.S.C.

 This case assigned to District Judge

 And to Magistrate Judge
- 2. This action arises out of Defendant's violations of the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, 15 U.S.C. §1692, et seq.
 - 3. The actions alleged in this Complaint occurred within the State of Arkansas.
 - 4. Venue is proper pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1391.

II. PARTIES TO THE CLAIM

5. Coleman is a resident of Little Rock, Pulaski County, Arkansas, and is a "consumer" as defined by 15 U.S.C. §1692a(3), and/or "any person" as defined by 15 U.S.C. §1692d;

- 6. At the time of the incident described further herein, Portfolio was a corporation duly authorized to conduct business in the State of Arkansas as a "debt collector /debt buyer," as that term is defined by 15 U.S.C. §1692a(6) and is registered and licensed with the Arkansas State Board of Collection Agencies, and attempting to collect a "debt," as defined in 15 U.S.C. §1692a(5).
 - 7. Portfolio is being served via its registered agent:

Corporation Service Company 300 Spring Building, Suite 900 300 S. Spring Street Little Rock, AR 72201

III. FACTUAL BACKGROUND

- 8. Portfolio filed a lawsuit against Coleman in the District Court of Pulaski County, Arkansas on May 30, 2014 alleging a consumer debt. A copy of that Complaint is attached hereto as Exhibit "A" and incorporated herein as if set forth word for word.
- 9. The lawsuit alleges Coleman defaulted on a credit card account obligation with the original creditor, Citibank, N.A.
 - 10. Portfolio asserted it purchased and was assigned the account.
- 11. The Complaint requests \$1,061.80, all court costs incurred and post-judgment interest "at the contract rate".
- 12. The Complaint filed by Portfolio does not contain the contract between Citibank, N.A. and Coleman which forms the basis of its claim in violation of Rule 10(d) of the Arkansas Rules of Civil Procedure. Ark. R. Civ. Pro. 10(d) states:
 - "Required Exhibits. A copy of any written instrument or document upon which a claim or defense is based shall be attached as an exhibit to the pleading in which such claim or defense is based shall be attached as an exhibit to the pleading in which such claim or defense is averred unless good cause is shown for its absence in such pleading."

The Supreme Court of Arkansas has held that Ark. R. Civ. Pro. 10(d)'s requirement that a copy of the "instrument or document" on which the claim is based be attached is mandatory. See <u>LVNV Funding, Llc v. Rae Nardi</u>, 2012 Ark. 460. Because no contract with Citibank, N.A. and Coleman is attached, the relief requested contains amounts not authorized by contract in violation of 15 U.S.C. §1692f(1).

- 13. By not attaching the contract upon which it is suing, Portfolio is making a false representation of the character, amount, or legal status of the debt in violation of 15 U.S.C. §1692e(2)(A).
- 14. Portfolio has attached no evidence that it purchased the debt from Citibank, N.A. and; thus, is taking action which cannot legally be taken in violation of 15 U.S.C. §1692e(5).
- 15. Portfolio is in violation of 15 U.S.C. §1692e(10) by making false representations and engaging in deceptive means in attempting to collect the debt by its inability to document any agreement between Coleman and any creditor in violation of Rule 10(d) of the Arkansas Rules of Civil Procedure.
- 16. At the time it commenced the action against Coleman, Portfolio possessed insufficient evidence to pursue its claim against Coleman in violation of 15 U.S.C. §1692(e)(5).
- 17. Portfolio's conduct violates the FDCPA for which strict liability applies pursuant to 15 U.S.C. §1692, et seq.
- 18. Portfolio's conduct violates the FDCPA for which statutory damages apply pursuant to 15 U.S.C. §1692k(a)(2)(A).

IV. **DAMAGES**

19. As a direct and proximate result of the occurrence made the basis of this lawsuit,

Coleman is entitled to the following damages:

- i. statutory damages in the maximum amount of \$1,000.00 pursuant to 15 U.S.C. $\S1692(k)(a)(2)(A)$;
- ii. actual damages pursuant to 15 U.S.C. §1692k(a)(1);
- iii. costs, expenses and attorney fees pursuant to 15 U.S.C §1692(k)(a)(3);
- 20. Coleman requests a bench trial.

VI. **PRAYER**

WHEREFORE, Coleman prays that:

- 1. He be granted statutory damages in the maximum amount of \$1,000.00 pursuant to the 15 U.S.C. $\S1692(k)(a)(2)(A)$;
- 2. He be granted actual damages pursuant to 15 U.S.C. §1692k(a)(1) in an amount to be proven at trial;
- 3. He be granted his costs, expenses and attorney fees pursuant to 15 U.S.C $\S1692(k)(a)(3)$.

Respectfully submitted,

. Andrews, Esq. ABN 92041

Attorney at Law 2014 Martha Drive

Little Rock, Arkansas 72212 Telephone: (501) 680-3634

jrandrewsatty@yahoo.com

Attorney for Plaintiff

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF PULASKI COUNTY, ARKANSAS

PORTFOLIO RECOVERY ASSOCIATES, LLC

PLAINTIFF

V.

CASE NO. <u>PCW-14-15</u>

WELTON COLEMAN

DEFENDANT

COMPLAINT

Comes the Plaintiff and for its cause of action against the Defendant, states:

- 1. That the Plaintiff is a corporation authorized to bring this action under Ark. Code Ann. § 4-27-1501.
 - 2. That the Defendant is a resident of Pulaski County, Arkansas.
 - 3. Jurisdiction and venue are proper in this Court.
- 4. That Defendant purchased certain items with extensions of credit obtained on his/her CITIBANK, N.A. account. This account was purchased by, and assigned to the Plaintiff for good and valuable consideration.
- 5. That the amount past due on said account, which has not been paid, and has been owed for a period of time is as follows, principle amount, \$1,061.80, as set out in Plaintiff's Affidavit which is attached hereto and incorporated herein by reference.
 - 6. That demand had been made for the payment of same, yet the balance remains unpaid.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays for Judgment against Defendant in the amount of \$1,061.80, for all costs herein paid and expended, for post-judgment interest at the contract rate, and for all other proper relief.

Allen & Withrow Attorneys, at Law

P.O. Box 17248 Little Rook, AR 72222

Lori Withrow (98069)



AFFIDAVIT			
State of California City of San Diego ss.			
I, the undersigned,hereby depose, affirm and	Maria Marin d state as follows:	, Custodian of Re	ccords, for Portfolio Recovery Associates, LLC
1. I am competent to	o testify to the matters	contained herein.	
business at Riverside Con statements, representation Assignee and those record	nmerce Center, 120 Co is and averments herein is transferred to Accoun	rporate Boulevard, Nor a, and do so based upon nt Assignee from CITI	LLC, ("Account Assignee") which is doing folk, Virginia, and I am authorized to make the a review of the business records of the Account BANK, N.A./SEARS ("Account Seller"), which iness records, in the ordinary course of business.
proceeds of the account at having been sold, assigned assigned all of the Account	e now owned by the A d and transferred by the at Seller's power and au collection or adjustmer	ccount Assignee, all of Account Seller on 5/3 athority to do and perfort of said account, and the	ordinary course of business, the account, and all the Account Seller's interest in such account 0/2013. Further, the Account Assignee has been rm all acts necessary for the settlement, the Account Seller has retained no further interest
course of business by the A Debtor") to the Account Se	Account Assignee, ther eller the sum of \$1,061	e was due and payable .80 with the respect to	om Account Seller, and maintained in the ordinary from WELTON COLEMAN ("Debtor and Coaccount number ending in as of the date of ms or offsets against the said debt as of the date of
			r all known payments, counterclaims, and/or s the sum of \$1,061.80 as due and owing as of the
6. Plaintiff believes the not on active military servi			etent individual, and declares that the Defendant is
Portfolio Recovery Associate Maria Maria Maria By:	//	Records	STEPHEN P. SENECA COMM. #1989109 STEPHEN P. SENECA COMM. **SENECA COM
Subscribed and sworn to be by Maria Marin the person(s) who appeared	, proved to me on th	of, the basis of satisfactory of	evidence to be



Notary Public

This communication is from a debt collector and is an attempt to collect a debt. Any information obtained will be used for that purpose.